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- RFS: The Bayesian integrals defined for sets.
- PHD: First moment approx, i.e., number of targets over a region can be calculated
- Labeled and un-labeled
- Labeled Multi-Bernoulli (LMB)
- Veoneer: radar, vision sensor fusion, machine learning, data association, cpu vs performance


## Target Tracking Le 8: Selected topic

## Selected Topics

Today's lecture will focus on several different topics.

- Purpose is to highlight some problems/applications
- The ambition is an overview with references
- Examples: TrBD, T2T fusion, group tracking, and ETT

However, for some topics like ETT and group tracking there might be simularities

## 1. $\mathbf{U}$ unumpen

## References on Multiple Target Tracking Topics (1/2)

- Performance Evaluation
- M. Guerriero, L. Svensson, D. Svensson, and P. Willett. Shooting two birds with two bullets: How to find minimum mean OSPA estimates
In 13st International Conference on Information Fusion, Edinburgh, UK, July 2010.
- Track-to-Track Fusion
- J. K. Uhlmann. Covariance consistency methods for fault-tolerant distributed data fusion Information Fusion, 4(3):201-215, 2003.
- J. Nygårds, V. Deleskog, and G. Hendeby. Safe fusion compared to established distributed fusion methods.
In IEEE Intemational Conference on Multisensor Fusion and Integration for Intelligent Systems, Baden-Baden, Germany, Sept. 2016.
- B. Noack, J. Sijs, and U. D. Hanebeck. Inverse covariance intersection: New insights and properties.
In 20st International Conference on Information Fusion, Xi'an, China, July 2017.
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## References on Multiple Target Tracking Topics (2/2)

- Track Before Detect
- Y. Boers, H. Driessen, J. Torstensson, M. Trieb, R. Karlsson, and F. Gustafsson.

Track-before-detect algorithm for tracking extended targets. IEE Proc on Radar Sonar Navigation, 153(4):345-351, Aug. 2006

- Extended Target Tracking
- K. Granström, L. Svensson, S. Reuter, Y. Xia, and M. Fatemi. Likelihood-based data association for extended object tracking using sampling methods. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Vehicles, 3(1), Mar. 2018.
- K. Granström, M. Baum, and S. Reuter. Extended object tracking: Introduction, overview and applications.
Journal of Advances in Information Fusion, 12(1), Dec. 2017
- BOOK: B. Ristic, S. Arulampalam, and N. Gordon. Beyond the Kalman Filter: Particle Filters for Tracking Applications.
Artech House, 2004.
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## Performance Evaluation
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Single Target Tracking: root mean square error (RMSE)

- A common performance measure for estimation is the (root) mean square error ((R)MSE). Given $M$ estimates $\hat{x}_{1 \cdot T}^{(i)}$ of the matching ground truth $x_{1 \cdot T}^{0(i)}$

$$
\operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{x}_{t}\right)=\frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \hat{\|} \hat{x}_{t}^{(i)}-x_{t}^{0(i)} \|^{2} .
$$

- The MSE combines the variance and bias of the estimate, $\operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{x}_{t}\right)=\operatorname{var} \hat{x}_{t}+b_{t}^{2}$.



## Single Target Tracking: RMSE performance bound

## Cramér-Rao lower bound (CRLB)

The CRLB offers a fundamental performance bound for unbiased estimators and can be found as

$$
\operatorname{cov}\left(x_{t}-\hat{x}_{t \mid t}\right) \succeq P_{t \mid t}^{\mathrm{CRLB}},
$$

where $P_{t \mid t}^{\text {CRLB }}$ is the CRLB, given by the EKF around the true state (parametric CRLB) and inverse intrinsic accuracy replacing all noise covariances.
It is also possible to construct a posterior CRLB.
Note: The CRLB can be used when setting sensor requirements and in system design

## $1.0 .{ }^{\text {unnowno }}$

## Normalized Estimation Error Squared (NEES)

- NEES provides a consistency estimate of an estimator,

$$
\operatorname{NEES}\left(\hat{x}_{t}\right)=\frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M}\left(\hat{x}_{t}^{(i)}-x_{t}^{0(i)}\right)^{T}\left(P_{t}^{(i)}\right)^{-1}\left(\hat{x}_{t}^{(i)}-x_{t}^{0(i)}\right) .
$$

- Given a Gaussian assumption and correct tuning, $\operatorname{NEES}\left(\hat{x}_{t}\right) \sim \chi^{2}\left(n_{x}\right)$
$<\boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ conservative estimate, i.e., the estimate is better than indicated with the $P$. $\approx n_{x}$ the estimated covariance matches what is observed
$>n_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ optimistic estimate, i.e., the estimate is worse than indicated with the $P$
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Multi-target tracking performance is a problem of relating elements of two different sets:

$$
\left\{X^{(1)}, \ldots, X^{(N)}\right\} \stackrel{\varphi: n \leftrightarrow m}{\longleftrightarrow}\left\{\hat{X}^{(1)}, \ldots \hat{X}^{(M)}\right\}
$$

## How to handle:

- Inconsistent number of targets? $N \neq M$
- Match estimated track to ground truth track? $\varphi$
- Label switches? $\varphi$ changes over time


How to judge the tracking result (blue tracks), compared to the ground truth (red tracks)? The number of tracks does not match, and the labels are different.


## Important properties:

- RMSE/NEES per target; how accurate are estimated tracks?
- Time to start track; how long does it take to confirm a new track?
- Track consistency; are the tracks kept together over time?


Multi-Target Tracking: OSPA (2/2)

## OSPA metric

Given two sets of tracks $\hat{X}$ and $X$, a metric $d(x, \hat{x})$, and a cost for incorrect targets $c$,

$$
\tilde{d}_{p}^{(c)}(X, \hat{X})=\left(\frac{1}{N} \min _{\theta} \sum_{i} d^{(c)}\left(x^{(i)}, \hat{x}^{(\theta(i))}\right)^{p}+c^{p}|M-N|\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

where $d^{(c)}(x, \hat{x})=\min (d(x, \hat{x}), c)$ is a version of the chosen norm that saturates at $c$.
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Track-to-Track (T2T) Fusion

- Consider a network of stand alone nodes performing target tracking.
- Estimates are passed around, which can lead to double use of data
- How to efficiently combine measurements in a sound way?


## 1.1

## Track-to-Track Fusion: independent estimates

## Sensor Fusion Formula

Independent estimates $\left\{\left(\hat{x}^{(i)}, P^{(i)}\right)\right\}_{i}$ we can combine these using the fusion formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{x} & =P \sum_{i}\left(P^{(i)}\right)^{-1} \hat{x}^{(i)} \\
P^{-1} & =\sum_{i}\left(P^{(i)}\right)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This will give an over-confident estimate in case the estimates are not independent. In case of dependent estimates, more elaborate methods are needed.

## 1. $0^{\text {unnorenin }}$

## Track-to-Track Fusion: dependent measurements (1/3)

## Covariance Intersection (CI)

A conservative estimate of combined estimate of several estimates $\left\{\left(\hat{x}^{(i)}, P^{(i)}\right)\right\}_{i}$ with unknown correlations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{x} & =P \sum_{i} \omega^{(i)}\left(P^{(i)}\right)^{-1} \hat{x}^{(i)} \\
P^{-1} & =\sum_{i} \omega^{(i)}\left(P^{(i)}\right)^{-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sum_{i} \omega^{(i)}=1$ are chosen as to minimize $P$ under some norm, usually $\operatorname{tr}(P)$ or $\operatorname{det}(P)$.

## 
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Track-to-Track Fusion: dependent measurements (2/3)

## Safe Fusion

An easy to compute, but not completely conservative method to fuse two estimates with unknown dependencies

1. SVD: $P^{(1)}=U_{1} D_{1} U_{1}^{T}$
2. SVD: $D_{1}^{-1 / 2} U_{1}^{T} P^{(2)} U_{1} D_{1}^{-1 / 2}=U_{2} D_{2} U_{2}^{T}$.
3. Transformation matrix: $T=U_{2}^{T} D_{1}^{-1 / 2} U_{1}^{T}$.
4. State transformation: $\hat{\bar{x}}_{1}=T \hat{x}^{(1)}$ and $\hat{\bar{x}}_{2}=T \hat{x}^{(2)}$

The covariances of these are $\operatorname{cov}\left(\hat{\bar{x}}_{1}\right)=I$ and $\operatorname{cov}\left(\hat{\bar{x}}_{2}\right)=D_{2}$
5. For each component $i=1,2, \ldots, n_{x}$, let

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
{[\hat{\hat{x}}]_{i}=\left[\hat{x}_{1}\right]_{i},} & {[D]_{i i}=1} & \text { if } & {\left[D_{2}\right]_{i i} \geq 1,} \\
{[\hat{\bar{x}}]_{i}=\left[\hat{x}_{2}\right]_{i},} & {[D]_{i i}=\left[D_{2}\right]_{i i} \text { if }} & {\left[D_{2}\right]_{i i}<1 .}
\end{array}
$$

6. Inverse state transformation:

$$
\hat{x}=T^{-1} \hat{x}, \quad P=T^{-1} D^{-1} T^{-T}
$$



Track-to-Track Fusion: safe fusion illustration


- The two estimates are transformed to become as independent as possible.
- Extract the best information in each direction.


## 1.0

Target Tracking Le 8: Selected topics $\quad$ G. Hendeby, R. Kartsson
Track-to-Track Fusion: dependent measurements (3/3)

## Inverse Covariance Intersection (ICI)

Conservative fusion method of two estimates under unknown dependencies given some (not completely known) structure.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{x} & =P\left(\left(\left(P^{(1)}\right)^{-1}-\omega P_{c}^{-1}\right) \hat{x}^{(1)}+\left(\left(P^{(2)}\right)^{-1}-(1-\omega) P_{c}^{-1}\right) \hat{x}^{(2)}\right) \\
P^{-1} & =\left(P^{(1)}\right)^{-1}+\left(P^{(2)}\right)^{-1}-P_{c}^{-1} \\
P_{c} & =\omega P^{(1)}+(1-\omega) P^{(2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $\omega$ is chosen to minimize some norm of $P$, e.g., $\operatorname{tr}(P)$ or $\operatorname{det}(P)$.

- The worst case common information, $P_{c}$, is estimated (mild structural assumptions).
- Fuse the estimates, taking the estimated common information into consideration.


## 1. $\mathbf{U}$

Track Before Detect (TrBD)
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Track Before Detect: SNR motivation

General TrBD concept: simultaneous detection and tracking


- High SNR: traditional detection works
- Low SNR: traditional detections will not work
- Note: do not want to lower the threshold too much!
- CFAR
1.0


## Track Before Detect: idea



- Radar example (but also applies for images).
- Assume one target.
- Consistent motion model.
- Threshold detector vs simultaneous detection and tracking
- Stealthy targets


## Track Before Detect: assumptions and methods

Basically we assume that we can use:

- Data over several scans
- Prohibit or penalize deviations from straight line motion
- Assume one target (or sufficiently separated)

There are many ways to achieve TrBD:

- Batch-algorithms
- Hough transform
- Dynamic Programming
- Bayesian filtering


## Solution for tracking of stealthy targets:

Unthresholded info via simultaneous detection and tracking.

## 
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## Track Before Detect: Bayesian concept (1/2)

First study a 2D image, with position, velocity and intensity as states

$$
x_{t}=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
X_{t} & Y_{t} & \dot{X}_{t} & \dot{Y}_{t} & I_{t} & m_{t}
\end{array}\right)^{T}
$$

We also need to consider the mode of existance $(m)$ of a target, with birth/death according to:

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{b}=P\left(m_{t}=1 \mid m_{t-1}=0\right) \\
P_{d}=P\left(m_{t}=0 \mid m_{t-1}=1\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

which will give a Markov transition matrix.

Track Before Detect: Bayesian concept (2/2)
Dynamics:
CV-model or similar.

## Observation model:

$$
y_{t}^{(i, j)}= \begin{cases}h^{(i, j)}\left(x_{t}\right)+e_{t}^{(i, j)}, & \text { if target present } \\ e_{t}^{(i, j)}, & \text { if target absent }\end{cases}
$$

where $h^{(i, j)}\left(x_{t}\right)$ is the target intensity contribution in resolution cell $(i, j)$. For a 2D point target we consider a Gaussian for describing this:

$$
h^{(i, j)}\left(x_{t}\right) \propto I_{t} \cdot e^{-\frac{\left(i \Delta_{x}-X_{t}\right)^{2}+\left(j \Delta_{y}-Y_{t}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}}
$$

Basically, we now have all that is needed to write down this as a Bayesian formulation, which can be solved with for instance a PF.

## Track Before Detect: radar modeling (1/2)

## Now consider a radar tracking stealthy targets:

- Instead of thresholding, the entire radar video signal is used, i.e. the received power, $P\left(r^{(j)}, d^{(k)}, b^{(l)}\right), \forall j, k, l$.
- The measurements consist of the power levels in $N_{r} \times N_{d} \times N_{b}$ sensor cells, where $N_{r}, N_{d}$, and $N_{b}$ are the number of range, Doppler, and bearing cells.
For each range-Doppler-bearing cell, $\left(r^{(j)}, d^{(k)}, b^{(l)}\right)$, the received power in the measurement relation is given by

$$
y_{P, t}^{j k l}=\left|y_{A, t}^{j k l}\right|^{2}=\left|A_{t}^{j k l} \cdot h_{A}^{j k l}\left(x_{t}\right)+e_{t}^{j k l}\right|^{2}
$$

where $j=1, \ldots, N_{r}, k=1, \ldots, N_{d}, l=1, \ldots, N_{b}$.

## Track Before Detect: radar modeling (2/2)

$$
h_{A}^{j k l}\left(x_{t}\right)=\exp -\frac{\left(r^{(j)}-r_{t}\right)^{2}}{2 R} \lambda_{r}-\frac{\left(d^{(k)}-d_{t}\right)^{2}}{2 D} \lambda_{d}-\frac{\left(b^{(l)}-b_{t}\right)^{2}}{2 B} \lambda_{b} .
$$

The constants $R, D$, and $B$ are related to the size of the range cell, the Doppler cell, and the bearing cell. Losses are represented by the constants $\lambda_{r}, \lambda_{d}$, and $\lambda_{b}$. The noise is defined by

$$
e_{t}^{j k l}=e_{I, t}^{j k l}+\imath \cdot e_{Q, t}^{j k l},
$$

which is complex Gaussian, where $e_{I, t}^{j k l}$ and $e_{Q, t}^{j k l}$ are independent, zero-mean white Gaussian with variance $\sigma_{e}^{2}$, for the in-phase and quadrature-phase, respectively.
It is possible to derive a rather complicated likelihood function.

## 
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## Track Before Detect: tracking filter (2/2)

For the radar model we have

$$
y=h(x)+e=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\varphi \\
\theta \\
r \\
\dot{r}
\end{array}\right)+e=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\operatorname{atan} 2(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{x}) \\
\operatorname{atan} 2\left(\mathrm{z} / \sqrt{\mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{y}^{2}}\right) \\
\sqrt{\mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{y}^{2}+\mathrm{z}^{2}} \\
\frac{\mathrm{x} v^{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{y} v^{y}+\mathrm{zv}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{y}^{2}+\mathrm{y}^{2}}}
\end{array}\right)+e
$$

Now possible to use a particle filter. For a specific problem, one has to calculate relevant likelihoods etc.
$\begin{array}{lc}\text { Target Tracking Le 8: Selected topics } & \text { G. Hendeby, R. Karlsson } \\ \text { Track Before Detect. extended targets } & 1 / 2\end{array}$

A spatial distribution model for extended objects is assumed, $p\left(\tilde{x}_{t} \mid x_{t}\right)$, which can be interpreted as a generator of a point source $\tilde{x}_{t}$ from an extended target with its center and orientation given by the state vector $x_{t}$.
Receiving a measurement from a source $\tilde{x}_{t}$ somewhere on the target leads to a likelihood conditioned on a specific source $\Lambda\left(x_{t}\right)=p\left(y_{t} \mid \tilde{x}_{t}\right)$. Using this model the total likelihood is obtained as

$$
p\left(y_{t} \mid x_{t}\right)=\int p\left(y_{t} \mid \tilde{x}_{t}\right) p\left(\tilde{x}_{t} \mid x_{t}\right) d \tilde{x}_{t}
$$

## 

Track Before Detect: extended targets (2/2)

$$
p\left(y_{t} \mid x_{t}\right)=\int p\left(y_{t} \mid \tilde{x}_{t}\right) p\left(\tilde{x}_{t} \mid x_{t}\right) d \tilde{x}_{t}
$$

- Point Target:
- Point Sources:

$$
p\left(\tilde{x}_{t} \mid x_{t}\right)=\delta\left(\tilde{x}_{t}-x_{t}\right)
$$

$$
p\left(\tilde{x}_{t} \mid x_{t}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{M} \Lambda\left(x_{t}^{(i)}\right) \delta\left(\tilde{x}_{t}-x_{t}^{(i)}\right)
$$

- Extended Target

$$
p\left(y_{t} \mid x_{t}\right) \approx \frac{1}{\tilde{M}} \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{M}} p\left(y_{t} \mid \tilde{x}_{t}^{(i)}\right)
$$

with $\tilde{x}^{(i)}$, independently drawn according to $p\left(\tilde{x}_{t} \mid x_{t}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, \tilde{M}$.

## |.U UNKOOPRNG




- TrBD can be used for extended targets
- Position RMSE for point targets and two extended targets
- Computational intensive
- Motion model must correspond to true target
- Multiple targets will be complicated
- Possible to track for low SNR

Extended target Tracking (ETT)
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From MATLAB Sensor fusion and tracking toolbox.
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Extended Target Tracking: measurement clustering

- A standard MTT is a point target tracker.
- It assumes that every track can be detected at most once by a sensor in a scan.
- If detections are not clustered, the tracker generates multiple tracks per object.
- Clustering returns one detection per cluster, at the cost of having a larger uncertainty


## 1. $\mathbf{U}$ UNoveresin
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## Extended Target Tracking: extension modeling

- Geometry: Need to specify a model for the extended object: rectangular, ellipsoidal, star convex etc.
- Dynamics: Each extended object must have some motion model, for instance coordinated turn about its pivot.
- ETT handles multiple detections per object and sensor without the need to cluster detections, at the cost of more advanced association and a more complex model.


## Group Tracking
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Group Tracking: dynamic model

Consider the bulk model $(B)$ and the individual targets $x$, according to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{t+1} & =f^{B}\left(B_{t}, w_{t}\right) \\
x_{t+1}^{(i)} & =f^{(i)}\left(x_{t}^{(i)}, w_{t}^{(i)}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we assume $i=1, \ldots, N_{t g}$. Usually $f^{(i)}=f$.
Note: The bulk is the center or the mean position, orientation etc Everything can be implemented by extending the state vector.
1.0
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Group Tracking: observation model

The observation cannot originate from multiple sources. Each measurement is from a target or clutter

$$
y_{t}^{(j)}=h\left(\Psi\left(x_{t}^{(i)}, B_{t}\right)\right)+e_{t},
$$

where $\Psi$ be a nonlinear transformation.
Now proceed with association etc.

Summary Target Tracking Course
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## Summary Multi-Target Tracking Course: basis

## Problem formulation:

Multi-target tracking is the problem of decide how many targets are present and how they move, given measurements with imperfections.


## 1. $0^{\text {unnorenin }}$

Summary Multi-Target Tracking Course: single target tracking

## Single target tracking

- Filters
- (Extended/Unscented) Kalman type filter
- Particle filter

■ Filter banks (IMM, GBP, RPEKF, ...)

- Motion models: $x_{t+1}=f\left(x_{t}\right)+v_{t}$
- Constant velocity
- Constant acceleration
- Coordinated turn
- Switched models for maneuvering targets
- Observation models: $y_{t}=h\left(x_{t}\right)+e_{t}$
- Clutter
- Missed detections
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Summary Multi-Target Tracking Course: multi-target tacking


## Summary Multi-Target Tracking Course: extensions

- Track Before Detect: raw observations are used for simulataneous detection and tracking in poor SNR.
- Performance measures
- Root mean square error (RMSE)
- Normalized estimation error square (NEES)
- Cramér-Rao lower bound (CRLB)

■ Optimal subpattern association (OSPA): multi-target

- Extended target and group tracking
- Various examples of tracking applications from research and industry
1.0

