Jonatan Olofsson

Div. Automatic Control Dept. Electrical Engineering jonatan.olofsson@liu.se









April 24, 2019

6/47

### Random Finite Sets

### Definition: Random Finite Set

A Random Finite Set (RFS) X is a random variable that has realizations in the form  $X = \mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{S}$  where  $\mathcal{S}$  is the set of all finite subsets of some underlying space S.

- The number of points is random.
- The points are random.
- The points have no ordering

### **RFS Example**

- $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{R}^{n_x}$
- $\mathcal{S} = \mathsf{All}$  finite subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^{n_x}$
- Let  $x_k^i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x}$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, \infty$ . Then, some realizations  $\mathcal{X}$  of the random variable X can be  $\phi$ ,  $\{x_k^1\}$ ,  $\{x_k^1, x_k^2\}$ ,  $\{x_k^1, x_k^2, x_k^3\}$  and so on.



Poisson Random Sets

**Recall: Poisson Point Mass Function** 

$$Po\left(k;\lambda\right)=\frac{\lambda^{k}e^{-\lambda}}{k!}$$

Jonatan Olofsson

### Poisson RFS

Let  $|\mathcal{X}|$  be the cardinality of  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\langle f, g \rangle$  the inner product of functions f and g, such that  $\langle f, g \rangle \triangleq \int f(\mathbf{x}) g(\mathbf{x}) dx$ .

An RFS  ${\mathcal X}$  is said to be Poisson with intensity function  $v({\pmb x})$  if

1. for  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ ,  $|\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{B}|$  is Poisson distributed with mean  $\langle v, 1_{\mathcal{B}} \rangle$ .

2. for any disjoint  $\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_i$ ,  $|\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{B}_1|, \ldots, |\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{B}_i|$  are independent

Target Tracking Le 6: RFS tracking Poisson Random Sets Jonatan Olofsson

om Soto

The Probability Density Function (PDF) of a Poisson RFS is

 $\pi\left(\mathcal{X}\right) = e^{-\langle v,1\rangle} v^{\mathcal{X}}$ 

- The distribution is characterized by the intensity function v(x) (Mahler and Zajic, 2001; Mahler, 2003).
- If hyper-volume (on  $\mathcal{X})$  has dimension  $\kappa,$  the intensity function  $v(\pmb{x})$  has the dimension  $\kappa^{-1}$





• This general filter is computationally prohibitive to implement except few cases.

April 24, 2019

5/47

Jonatan Olofsson

April 24, 2019 8 / 47

Random Sets: Models

- Call the target set at time k as  $\mathcal{X}_k$  and measurement set at time k as  $\mathcal{Z}_k$ .
- Then, one can define set models

 $\mathcal{X}_k = F(\mathcal{X}_{k-1}) \cup W_k$ 

where  $W_k$  the finite set representing the newly appearing targets. The function  $F(\cdot)$  is related to target death and modelled prediction update of targets.

$$\mathcal{Z}_k = G(\mathcal{X}_k) \cup V_k$$

where  $V_k$  is the finite set representing the clutter. The function  $G(\cdot)$  is related to the detection of the targets.



Jonatan Olofsson

April 24, 2019 9 / 47

Approximative Models

Single-target moments

"Assuming Gaussian ... "

Mean: 
$$\mu = \int x p(x) dx$$
  
Covariance:  $\Pi = \int (x - \mu) (x - \mu)^T p(x) dx$ 

Kalman filter:  $p(x) = \mathcal{N}(x|\mu, \Pi)$ Constant gain Kalman filter need only x (e.g. the  $\alpha$ - $\beta$ - $\gamma$ -filter)

The mean, or the mean and covariance, describe an approximation of the true  $\ensuremath{\mathtt{PDF}}$ 

Target Tracking Le 6: RFS tracking

Jonatan Olofsson

April 24, 2019

10 / 47

The Probability Hypothesis Density

What is the expected value (first moment) of a RFS?

• The multi-target moment is not straightforward (mean of sets is ill-defined)

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{X}_{k}|\mathcal{Z}_{0:k}\right] = \int \mathcal{X}_{k} p(\mathcal{X}_{k}|\mathcal{Z}_{0:k}) \delta \mathcal{X}_{k}$$

• Needs an indirect first-order moment on the form

$$\mathbb{E}\left[h\left(\cdot\right)\right] = \int h\left(\mathcal{X}\right) p\left(\mathcal{X}|\mathcal{Z}\right) d\mathcal{X}$$

- Not, as one might expect, a clear set of "most likely tracks".
- The first moment of a random multitarget track-set is a density function, giving the expected number of targets at each (infinitesimal) point









Jonatan Olofsson

Cardinalized Probability Hypothesis Density (CPHD) Filter

### (Mahler, 2006)

 The assumption of Poisson target cardinality makes the PHD sensitive to clutter. The Cardinalized Probability Hypothesis Density (CPHD) adds a full estimate of the cardinality distribution.





April 24, 2019

16 / 47

### Target Tracking Le 6: RFS tracking

Jonatan Olofsson

April 24, 2019 18 / 47

### Bernoulli Random Sets

A Bernoulli RFS is a set with 0 or 1 elements according to a Bernoulli distribution with parameter r. i.e. for a set  $\mathcal{X}$ 

• With probability 1 - r,  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\{\emptyset\}$ 

• With probability  $r, \mathcal{X}$  is  $\{x\}$ 

If x is described by p(x), the set is described with the Bernoulli RFS PDF

$$\pi\left(\chi\right) = \begin{cases} 1 - r, & \text{if } \chi = \emptyset, \\ r \cdot p\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right), & \text{if } \chi = \{\boldsymbol{x}\} \end{cases}$$

### Bernoulli RFS Parametrization

A Bernoulli **RFS** is fully described by the parameters

 $(r, p(\boldsymbol{x}))$ 

Multi-Bernoulli Representation A multi-Bernoulli RFS is the result of the union of  $N_{mb}$  independently Bernoulli-distributed RFS'S  $\chi^{(i)}$ , given by  $\chi = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_{mb}} \chi^{(i)}$ . • Multi-Bernoulli RFS  $\pi(\{\boldsymbol{x}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{x}_n\}) = \prod_{j=1}^M (1-r^{(j)}) \sum_{1 \le i_1 \ne \ldots, \ne i_n \le M} \prod \frac{r^{(i_j)} p^{(i_j)}(\boldsymbol{x}_j)}{1-r^{(i_j)}}$  $\rho(n) = \prod_{i=1}^{M} (1 - r^{(j)}) \sum_{1 \le i, \neq \dots \ne i_n \le M} \prod \frac{r^{(i_j)}}{1 - r^{(i_j)}}$ 

Jonatan Olofsson

Jonatan Olofssor

Kronecker delta-function, used to select summands relevant

Multi-object exponential notation, such that  $h^{\mathcal{X}} \triangleq$ 

 $\prod_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathcal{X}} h(\boldsymbol{x})$  or  $h^{\mathcal{X}} \triangleq \prod_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathcal{X}} h_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ .  $h^{\emptyset} = 1$  by convention

This defines the inclusion function.

to exactly the set  $\mathcal{Y}$ ;  $\delta_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathcal{X}) \triangleq \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ 

0. otherwise.

Bernoulli Random Sets: Mathematical Definitions

 $1_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathcal{X}) \triangleq \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \mathcal{X} \subseteq Y, \end{cases}$ 

All subsets of set  $\mathcal{X}$ 

Meaning

April 24, 2019

that

April 24, 2019

19/47

such

17 / 47

Farget Tracking Le 6: RFS tracking

Notation

 $1_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{X})$ 

 $\delta_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{X})$ 

 $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X})$ 

 $h^{\mathcal{X}}$ 

Target Tracking Le 6: RFS tracking

Jonatan Olofsson

April 24, 2019 20 / 47

April 24, 2019

22 / 47

Multi-Bernoulli Random Sets

### Multi-Bernoulli RFS Parametrization

The multi-Bernoulli  ${\ensuremath{{\rm RFS}}}$  can be parametrized by the set

$$\left\{\left(r^{(i)}, p^{(i)}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{mb}}$$

### Labeled Multi-Bernoulli RFS Parametrization

The Labeled multi-Bernoulli  ${\ensuremath{{\rm RFS}}}$  can be parametrized by the set

$$\left\{ \left( r^{\left(\ell\right)},p^{\left(\ell\right)}\left( oldsymbol{x}
ight) 
ight\} _{\ell\in\mathcal{L}}$$

Jonatan Olofsson

Target Tracking Le 6: RFS tracking



## $$\begin{split} \delta\text{-GLMB prediction update} \\ \text{Given a filtered } \delta\text{-GLMB density, the predicted } \delta\text{-GLMB is given by} \\ \pi_{+}\left(\mathcal{X}_{+}\right) &= \Delta\left(\mathcal{X}_{+}\right) \sum_{(I_{+},\xi)\in\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{L}_{+})\times\Xi} w_{+}^{(I_{+},\xi)} \delta_{I_{+}}\left(\mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{X}_{+}\right)\right) \left[p_{+}^{(\xi)}\right]^{\mathcal{X}_{+}} \\ w_{+}^{(I_{+},\xi)} &= w_{S}^{(\xi)}\left(I_{+}\bigcap\mathbb{L}\right) w_{B}\left(I_{+}\bigcap\mathbb{B}\right) \\ w_{S}^{(\xi)}\left(L\right) &= \left[\eta_{S}^{(\xi)}\right]^{L} \sum_{I\supseteq L} \left[1 - \eta_{S}^{(\xi)}\right]^{I-L} w^{(I,\xi)} \\ \eta_{S}^{(\xi)}\left(\ell\right) &= \left\langle p_{S}\left(\cdot,\ell\right), p^{(\xi)}\left(\cdot,\ell\right) \right\rangle \\ p_{+}^{(\xi)}\left(\boldsymbol{x},\ell\right) &= 1_{\mathbb{L}}\left(\ell\right) p_{S}^{(\xi)}\left(\boldsymbol{x},\ell\right) + 1_{\mathbb{R}} p_{B}\left(\boldsymbol{x},\ell\right) \end{split}$$

$$p_{+}^{\left(\xi\right)}\left(\boldsymbol{x},\ell\right) = 1_{\mathbb{L}}\left(\ell\right)p_{S}^{\left(\xi\right)}\left(\boldsymbol{x},\ell\right) + 1_{\mathbb{B}}p_{B}\left(\boldsymbol{x},\ell\right)$$
$$p_{S}^{\left(\xi\right)}\left(\boldsymbol{x},\ell\right) = \frac{\left\langle p_{S}\left(\cdot,\ell\right)f\left(\boldsymbol{x}|\cdot,\ell\right),p^{\left(\xi\right)}\left(\cdot,\ell\right)\right\rangle}{\eta_{S}^{\left(\xi\right)}\left(\ell\right)}$$

Target Tracking Le 6: RFS tracking	Jonatan Olofsson	April 24, 2019	23 / 47
$\delta extsf{-GLMB}$ measuremen	nt update		
Given a predicted $\delta$ -GLM the subset of current as	(B), the posterior filtering density is give sociation maps with domain <i>I</i> , by:	en, with $\Theta\left(I ight)$ denoting	
$\pi\left(\mathcal{X} ight)$	$= \Delta\left(\mathcal{X}\right) \sum_{(I,\xi)\in\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{L})\times\Xi} \sum_{\theta\in\Theta(I)} w^{(I,\xi,\theta)} \delta_{I}\left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{i(i,\xi,\theta)} \right)$	$\left(\mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{X}\right)\right)\left[p^{\left(\xi,\theta\right)} ight]^{\mathcal{X}}$	
$w^{(I,\xi,\theta)}\left(\mathcal{Z} ight)$	$\propto w^{(I,\xi,\theta)} \left[\eta_{\mathcal{Z}}^{(\xi,\theta)}\right]^{I}$		
$\psi_{\mathcal{Z}}\left(oldsymbol{x},\ell; heta ight)=$	$\begin{cases} \frac{p_{D}(\boldsymbol{x},\ell)g(\boldsymbol{z}_{\theta(\ell)} \boldsymbol{x},\ell)}{\kappa(\boldsymbol{z}_{\theta(\ell)})}, & \theta\left(\ell\right) > 0, \\ 1 - p_{D}\left(\boldsymbol{x},\ell\right), & \theta\left(\ell\right) = 0, \end{cases}$		
$\eta_{\mathcal{Z}}^{\left( \xi, heta ight) }\left( \ell ight)$	$= \left\langle p^{(\ell)}, \psi_{\mathcal{Z}}\left(\cdot, \ell; \theta\right) \right\rangle$		
$p^{\left( \xi,  heta  ight)} \left( oldsymbol{x}, \ell   \mathcal{Z}  ight)$	$=\frac{p^{\left(\xi\right)}\left(\boldsymbol{x},\ell\right)\psi_{\mathcal{Z}}\left(\boldsymbol{x},\ell;\theta\right)}{\eta_{\mathcal{Z}}^{\left(\xi,\theta\right)}\left(\ell\right)}$		

Jonatan Olofsson

April 24, 2019

24 / 47

Linear Assignment Problem (LAP)

### Linear Assignment Problem

The problem can be formulated by defining a cost matrix  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ , with matrix elements  $c_{ij}$  from row  $i \in [1, ..., n]$  and column  $j \in [1, ..., m]$ :

$$\min \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} s_{ij}$$
$$\sum_{j} s_{ij} = 1, \quad \forall i, \quad \sum_{i} s_{ij} \le 1, \quad \forall j$$
$$s_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$$
$$(1)$$



Jonatan Olofsson

April 24, 2019 25 / 47

LAP Example

### Assigning targets to reports

Given reports  $\{m{z}_1, m{z}_2\}$  and targets  $\{\ell_1, \ell_2\}$  we define the  $m{C}$  matrix as

 $oldsymbol{C} = egin{pmatrix} oldsymbol{z}_1 \Lambda_{\ell_1} & oldsymbol{z}_2 \Lambda_{\ell_1} & oldsymbol{n}_{\Lambda_{\ell_1}} & \infty & F \Lambda_{\ell_1} & \infty \ oldsymbol{z}_1 \Lambda_{\ell_2} & oldsymbol{z}_2 \Lambda_{\ell_2} & \infty & oldsymbol{n}_{\Lambda_{\ell_2}} & \infty & F \Lambda_{\ell_2} \end{pmatrix},$ 

where  $z_j \Lambda_{\ell_i}$  is the cost assigned to associating target  $\ell_j$  to report  $z_j$ .  ${}^n\!\Lambda_{\ell_i}$  and  ${}^F\!\Lambda_{\ell_j}$  is the cost associated with assigning the target as non-associated or false, respectively.







April 24, 2019

30 / 47

Labeled Multi-Bernoulli Filter: Prediction

Chapman-Kolmogorov equation:

$$\pi_{+}\left(\mathcal{X}_{+}\right) = \int f\left(\mathcal{X}_{+}\right) \pi\left(\mathcal{X}\right) \delta \mathcal{X},$$

Jonatan Olofsson

This gives the following set of surviving and new-born targets (Reuter et al., 2014),

$$\pi_{+} = \left\{ \left( r_{+,s}^{(\ell)}, p_{+,s}^{(\ell)} \right) \right\}_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} \cup \left\{ \left( r_{B}^{(\ell)}, p_{B}^{(\ell)} \right) \right\}_{\ell \in \mathcal{B}}$$

where

$$\begin{split} r_{+,s}^{(\ell)} &= \eta_s\left(\ell\right) r^{(\ell)},\\ p_{+,s}^{(\ell)} &= \frac{\langle p_s\left(\cdot,\ell\right) f\left(\boldsymbol{x}|\cdot,\ell\right), p\left(\cdot|\ell\right) \rangle}{\eta_s\left(\ell\right)},\\ \eta_s\left(\ell\right) &= \langle p_s\left(\cdot,\ell\right), p\left(\cdot,\ell\right) \rangle, \end{split}$$

• We wish to form  $\pi_{B,k+1} = \left\{ \left( r_B^{(\ell)}, p_B^{(\ell)} \right) \right\}_{\ell \in \mathcal{B}_k}$ 

 $\bullet\,$  One (ad hoc) model is based on the probability of association:

$$r_{U,k}\left(\boldsymbol{z}\right) = \sum_{\left(\mathcal{I}_{+},\theta\right)\in\mathcal{F}\left(\mathcal{L}_{+}^{\left(\zeta\right)}\right)\times\Theta_{\mathcal{I}_{+}}} w^{\left(\mathcal{I}_{+},\theta\right)}\left(\mathcal{Z}^{\left(\zeta\right)}\right) \mathbf{1}_{\theta}\left(\boldsymbol{z}\right).$$

Jonatan Olofsson

April 24, 2019

31/47

Given an expected number of new targets in each scan,  $\lambda_{B,k+1}$  — the existence probability of new targets — is then given by

$$r_{B,k+1}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \min\left(r_B^{\max}, \frac{(1 - r_{U,k}(\boldsymbol{z})) \cdot \lambda_{B,k+1}}{\sum_{\boldsymbol{z}' \in \mathcal{Z}_k} 1 - r_{U,k}(\boldsymbol{z}')}\right).$$

Target Tracking Le 6: RFS tracking

**RFS Birth Models** 

April 24, 2019

April 24, 2019

34 / 47

32 / 47

Labeled Multi-Bernoulli Filter: Measurement update

The measurement updates the set  $\pi_+ = \left\{ \left(r_+^{(\ell)}, p_+^{(\ell)}\right) \right\}_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_+}$  by the following approximation, for  $N_{\zeta}$  clusters:

$$\pi\left(\cdot|\mathcal{Z}\right) \approx \left\{ \left(r^{(\ell)}, p^{(\ell)}\right) \right\}_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_+} = \bigcup_{\zeta=1}^{N_{\zeta}} \left\{ \left(r^{(\ell,\zeta)}, p^{(\ell,\zeta)}\right) \right\}_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_+^{(\zeta)}}$$

Jonatan Olofsson

in which parameters are given by

$$r^{(\ell,\zeta)} = \sum_{(\mathcal{I}_{+},\theta)\in\mathcal{F}\left(\mathcal{L}_{+}^{(\zeta)}\right)\times\Theta_{\mathcal{I}_{+}}} w^{(\mathcal{I}_{+},\theta)}\left(\mathcal{Z}^{(\zeta)}\right) \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{I}_{+}}\left(\ell\right),$$
$$p^{(\ell,\zeta)}\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right) = \frac{1}{r^{(\ell,\zeta)}} \sum_{(\mathcal{I}_{+},\theta)\in\mathcal{F}\left(\mathcal{L}_{+}^{(\zeta)}\right)\times\Theta_{\mathcal{I}_{+}}} w^{(\mathcal{I}_{+},\theta)}\left(\mathcal{Z}^{(\zeta)}\right) \times \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{I}_{+}}\left(\ell\right) p^{(\theta)}\left(\boldsymbol{x},\ell|\mathcal{Z}^{(\zeta)}\right)$$

Target Tracking Le 6: RFS tracking

April 24, 2019

33 / 47

Labeled Multi-Bernoulli Filter: Measurement update

$$\begin{split} w^{(\mathcal{I}_{+},\theta)}\left(\mathcal{Z}^{(\zeta)}\right) \propto w^{(\mathcal{I}_{+})}_{+,\zeta} \left[\eta^{(\theta)}_{\mathcal{Z}^{(\zeta)}}\right]^{\mathcal{I}_{+}} \\ w^{(\mathcal{I}_{+})}_{+,\zeta} &= \prod_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{+}^{(\zeta)} - \mathcal{I}_{+}} \left(1 - r^{(\ell)}_{+}\right) \prod_{\ell' \in \mathcal{I}_{+}} r^{(\ell)}_{+}, \\ \eta^{(\theta)}_{\mathcal{Z}^{(\zeta)}}\left(\ell\right) &= \left\langle p^{(\ell,\zeta)}_{+}\left(\mathbf{x}\right), \psi_{\mathcal{Z}^{(\zeta)}}\left(\cdot,\ell;\theta\right) \right\rangle \\ \psi_{\mathcal{Z}^{(\zeta)}}\left(\mathbf{x},\ell;\theta\right) &= \begin{cases} \frac{p_{D}(\mathbf{x},\ell)p_{G}g(\mathbf{z}_{\theta(\ell)}|\mathbf{x},\ell)}{\kappa(\mathbf{z}_{\theta(\ell)})}, & \theta\left(\ell\right) \neq \mathbf{z}_{\emptyset}, \\ q_{D,G}\left(\mathbf{x},\ell\right), & \theta\left(\ell\right) = \mathbf{z}_{\emptyset}, \end{cases} \\ q_{D,G}\left(\mathbf{x},\ell\right) &= 1 - p_{D}\left(\mathbf{x},\ell\right)p_{G}, \\ p^{(\theta)}\left(\mathbf{x},\ell|\mathcal{Z}^{(\zeta)}\right) &= \frac{p^{(\ell,\zeta)}_{+}\left(\mathbf{x}\right)\psi_{\mathcal{Z}^{(\zeta)}}\left(\mathbf{x},\ell;\theta\right)}{\eta^{(\theta)}_{\mathcal{Z}^{(\zeta)}}\left(\ell\right)} \end{split}$$

Jonatan Olofsson

\_\_\_\_\_

Target Tracking Le 6: RFS tracking

Jonatan Olofsson

LMB Implementation

We make the distinction between associated and non-associated targets:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{I}^a_+ &= \{\ell: \quad \theta\left(\ell\right) \neq \boldsymbol{z}_{\emptyset}\}_{\ell \in \mathcal{I}_+} \,, \\ \mathcal{I}^a_+ &= \{\ell: \quad \theta\left(\ell\right) = \boldsymbol{z}_{\emptyset}\}_{\ell \in \mathcal{I}_+} \,, \end{split}$$

(implying  $\mathcal{I}_+ = \mathcal{I}^a_+ \cup \mathcal{I}^n_+$  and  $\mathcal{I}^a_+ \cap \mathcal{I}^n_+ = \emptyset$ ). We can then rewrite the measurement update

$$\begin{split} w^{(\mathcal{I}_{+},\theta)}\left(\mathcal{Z}^{(\zeta)}\right) \propto & w^{(\mathcal{I}_{+})}_{+,\zeta} \left[\eta^{(\theta)}_{\mathcal{Z}^{(\zeta)}}\right]^{\mathcal{I}_{+}} \\ &= \prod_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}^{(\zeta)}_{+} - \mathcal{I}_{+}} \left(1 - r^{(\ell)}_{+}\right) \\ &\times \prod_{\ell' \in \mathcal{I}^{a}_{+}} r^{(\ell')}_{+} \eta^{(\theta)}_{\mathcal{Z}^{(\zeta)}}\left(\ell'\right) \prod_{\ell'' \in \mathcal{I}^{a}_{+}} r^{(\ell'')}_{+} \eta^{(\theta)}_{\mathcal{Z}^{(\zeta)}}\left(\ell''\right), \end{split}$$

Target Tracking Le 6: RFS tracking	Jonatan Olofsson		April 24, 2019	35 / 47
LMB Implementation				
This product can be efficier	ntly expressed using $(\ell)$	the Negative Log Likelih	oods (NLLs),	$\Lambda_\ell$ ;
$e^{-\Lambda_\ell}$	$ {}^{\ell} = \begin{cases} 1 - r_{+}^{(\ell)}, \\ r_{+}^{(\ell)} \eta_{\mathcal{Z}(\zeta)}^{(\theta,a)}(\ell), \\ r_{+}^{(\ell)} \eta_{\mathcal{Z}(\zeta)}^{(\theta,n)}(\ell), \end{cases} $	$ \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \ell \in \mathcal{L}_{+}^{(\varsigma)} - \mathcal{I}_{+}, \\ \text{if } \ell \in \mathcal{I}_{+}^{a}, \\ \text{if } \ell \in \mathcal{I}_{+}^{n}, \end{array} \end{array} $		

yielding

$$w^{(\mathcal{I}_+,\theta)}\left(\mathcal{Z}^{(\zeta)}\right) \propto \exp\left(-\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_+^{(\zeta)}} \Lambda_\ell\right).$$

Jonatan Olofsson

April 24, 2019 3

LAP Recap

• LAP formulation:

$$\min \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} s_{ij}$$

$$\sum_{j} s_{ij} = 1, \quad \forall i, \quad \sum_{i} s_{ij} \leq 1, \quad \forall j$$

$$s_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} z_{1}\Lambda_{\ell_{1}} & z_{2}\Lambda_{\ell_{1}} & n\Lambda_{\ell_{1}} & \infty & F\Lambda_{\ell_{1}} & \infty \\ z_{1}\Lambda_{\ell_{2}} & z_{2}\Lambda_{\ell_{2}} & \infty & n\Lambda_{\ell_{2}} & \infty & F\Lambda_{\ell_{2}} \end{pmatrix},$$
(2)

• Each hypothesis describes a combination of elements which can be summed!



# Target Tracking Le 6: RFS trackingJonatan OlofssonApril 24, 201938/47LMB Measurement Update Reformulation• Abbreviating $w^{\theta} = w^{(\mathcal{I}_+,\theta)} \left( \mathcal{Z}^{(\zeta)} \right)$ and denoting the inner sums as ${}^{z}w_{\ell}$ : $r^{(\ell)} = \sum_{z \in \mathcal{Z}^{\dagger}} \left[ \sum_{(\mathcal{I}_+,\theta) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}_+) \times \Theta_{\mathcal{I}_+}} w^{\theta} A^{\theta}_{z \leftrightarrow \ell} \right]$ $= \sum_{z \in \mathcal{Z}^{\dagger}} {}^{z}w_{\ell}$ $p^{(\ell)}(x) = \frac{1}{r^{(\ell)}} \sum_{z \in \mathcal{Z}^{\dagger}} \left[ \sum_{(\mathcal{I}_+,\theta) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}_+) \times \Theta_{\mathcal{I}_+}} w^{\theta} A^{\theta}_{z \leftrightarrow \ell} \right] p^{(\ell)}(x|z)$ $= \frac{1}{r^{(\ell)}} \sum_{z \in \mathcal{Z}^{\dagger}} {}^{z}w_{\ell} p^{(\ell)}(x|z)$ We see that ${}^{z}w_{\ell}$ is the sum of weights of all hypotheses that assign report z to label $\ell$ .

Target Tracking Le 6: RFS tracking	Jonatan Olofsson	April 24, 2019	39 / 47
Birth Model Reformula	tion		
Further, the birth model of	may be rewritten		
	г		
$r_{U,k}$ (z	$(x) = \sum \left[ \sum w^{\theta} A^{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{z} \leftrightarrow \ell} \right]$		(3)
	$\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{+}^{(\zeta)} \left[ (\mathcal{I}_{+}, \theta) \in \mathcal{F} \left( \mathcal{L}_{+}^{(\zeta)} \right) \times \Theta_{\mathcal{I}_{+}} \right]$		
	$=\sum_{i} z_{w_{i}}$		(4)
	$\ell \in \mathcal{L}^{(\zeta)}_{\ell}$		
	+		
UNIVERSITY			

Jonatan Olofsson

April 24, 2019 40 / 47

LMB Implementation: Efficient Algorithm

To exploit this reformulation, consider a cluster of  $N_{\mathcal{X}}$  targets and  $N_{\mathcal{Z}}$  reports, and a matrix  $\boldsymbol{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{\mathcal{X}} \times (N_{\mathcal{Z}}+2)}$ . Further, consider a hypothesis assignment mapping  $R_{\theta}(i)$  to be used for mapping each row index of  $\boldsymbol{W}$  (corresponding to a target) to a column index (corresponding to an assignment).

### Assignment mapping

For all known targets (rows),  $R_{\theta}(i)$ 

- 1. maps associated targets to its report's integer position in an ordered enumeration of the reports;
- 2. maps missed targets to the integer index  $N_{\mathcal{Z}} + 1$ ; and
- 3. maps false targets to the integer index  $N_{\mathcal{Z}} + 2$ .







